

## HOMEWORK 6

Due: Tuesday, Dec 6.

**Ground rules:** *same as for Homework 1.* If you have scribed twice, do 2 out of 5 problems. If you will only scribe once, do 5 out of 5 problems.

**1. You've Got the Power.** Take some psd  $n \times n$  matrix  $D$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$ , and unit eigenvectors  $v_i$  corresponding to  $\lambda_i$ . Recall that the vectors  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  form an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Start with a unit vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\langle x, v_i \rangle = a_i$ , so you get  $\sum_i a_i^2 = 1$ . Consider the following iterative process to approximate  $\lambda_1$ .

Set  $x^{(0)} \leftarrow x$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$  steps, iteratively define the unit vector

$$x^{(i)} = \frac{Dx^{(i-1)}}{\|Dx^{(i-1)}\|_2}.$$

Let  $y \leftarrow x^{(t)}$ , and return  $\mu := D \bullet yy^\top$ .

- Show that if  $t = \Omega(\varepsilon^{-1} \log(\varepsilon^{-1} a_1^{-2}))$ , then  $\mu \in [\frac{\lambda_1}{1+\varepsilon}, \lambda_1]$ .
- (Extra Credit.) To choose the initial vector  $x$ , pick  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim N(0, 1)$ , set  $\vec{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ , and set  $x \leftarrow \vec{X} / \|\vec{X}\|_2$ . Show that  $a_1 \geq 1/\text{poly}(n)$  with probability  $1 - 1/\text{poly}(n)$ .
- Conclude that the algorithm above returns a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation to  $\lambda_{\max}(D)$  with high probability, in time  $O(\varepsilon^{-1} \log(n/\varepsilon))$ .

**2. Live and Learn.** Consider a set of points  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ , each with a “correct” label  $f(x_i) \in \{0, 1\}$ . We are given an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  that takes a probability distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  over  $[n]$ , and outputs a labeling  $h : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  such that

$$\Pr_{i \sim \mathcal{D}}[f(x_i) = h(x_i)] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \gamma$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$ . ( $\mathcal{A}$  is called a “weak learner”.) Consider the following algorithmic idea:

Start with  $w_i^{(1)} = 1$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . Repeat the following  $T = O(\gamma^{-2} \log \delta^{-1})$  times: use the weak learner  $\mathcal{A}$  on the probability distribution  $p_i^{(t)} = w_i^{(t)} / (\sum_i w_i^{(t)})$  to get a labeling  $h^{(t)}$ . For  $i \in [n]$  such that  $f(x_i) \neq h^{(t)}(x_i)$ , change the weight of  $i$  by some factor. Output the function  $H : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  where  $H(x_i)$  is the majority of  $\{h^{(1)}(x_i), h^{(2)}(x_i), \dots, h^{(T)}(x_i)\}$ .

How should you change the weights so that the number of points in  $X$  misclassified by  $H()$  is at most  $\delta n$ ? Give a full proof.

**3. Epsilon Nets and Hitting Sets.** Let  $(U, \mathcal{F})$  be a set system, where  $|U| = n$ , and  $\mathcal{F} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m\}$  where  $S_i \subseteq U$ . Define the two quantities:

- *Hitting Set.* The set  $H \subseteq U$  is a *hitting set* for  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $H \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \in [m]$ . Let  $Z^*$  be the size of the smallest hitting set for  $\mathcal{F}$ ; this is NP-hard to compute.
- $\varepsilon$ -*net.* Given weights  $w_e$  for each element  $e \in U$ , define the weight of a set as  $w(A) = \sum_{e \in A} w_e$ . The set  $N \subseteq U$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -*net* for  $(\mathcal{F}, w)$  if for all sets  $S_i$  such that  $w(S_i) \geq \varepsilon w(U)$ , we have  $N \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$ . (In other words,  $N$  hits all the “high-weight” elements.)

Suppose you are given an algorithm that for any setting of the element weights and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , finds an  $\varepsilon$ -net of size  $T(\varepsilon)$ . Consider the following algorithm:

- set  $w_e = 1$  for all  $e \in U$ .
- find an  $(\frac{1}{2Z^*})$ -net  $N$  for  $(\mathcal{F}, w)$ .
- if  $N$  is not a hitting set for  $\mathcal{F}$ , pick a set  $S_i$  not hit by  $N$ , double the weight of all elements in  $S_i$ , and goto step ii.

- Show that this algorithm terminates after  $O(Z^* \log n)$  iterations.
- Give a randomized algorithm that given any set system  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $m$  sets, finds an  $\varepsilon$ -net of size  $T(\varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon^{-1} \log m)$  in expected polynomial time.
- Infer the existence of a randomized  $O(\log m)$ -approximation to the hitting set problem in general set systems.

**4. Missing the Forest for the Trees?** Given a connected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , we can write down the spanning tree polytope  $P_{tree}(G) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{|E|}$  (see, e.g., the polytope  $P$  in Lecture 7), such that the vertices of  $P_{tree}$  correspond to spanning trees in  $G$ . So, given a point  $x \in P_{tree}$  we can write  $x$  as a convex combination of spanning trees of  $G$ . More precisely, we know there exist  $\lambda_T \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_T \lambda_T = 1$  (one for each spanning tree  $T$ ) such that

$$x = \sum_T \lambda_T \chi^T \tag{1}$$

where  $\chi^T \in \mathbb{R}^{|E|}$  is the characteristic vector of the tree  $T \subseteq G$ . But how do you find this convex combination (in poly time)? One way is to write the following LP with variables  $y_T$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && \sum_T y_T \\ & \text{subject to} && \sum_T \chi_e^T y_T = x_e && \forall e \in E \\ & && y_T \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

We know that the optimal value of this LP is exactly 1 (if  $x$  is indeed in the spanning tree polytope). So a solution to this LP is exactly what we are looking for. But the number of variables in this LP is potentially exponential!

- Can you solve this LP in time  $\text{poly}(n)$ ? For full points, give an algorithm to find  $\{\lambda_T\}$  satisfying (1) such that only  $|E|$  of these  $\lambda$ 's are nonzero. (Assume that the size of the numbers in  $x$  are also  $\text{poly}(n)$ .)
- Show a family of graphs  $\{G^n\}_n$  and solutions  $x^n \in P_{tree}(G_n)$  for which you need at least  $|E|$  trees in the convex combination.

**5. The Path Less Traveled.** Given a edge-weighted complete graph  $G = (V, E)$  where the edge lengths  $c : \binom{V}{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  satisfy the triangle inequality. Let  $T^*$  be the minimum-spanning tree on this graph. For an edge set  $S$ , let  $c(S) = \sum_{e \in S} c_e$ . Fix vertices  $s, t$ : a *TSPP* is a Hamilton path in  $G$  that starts at  $s$  and ends at  $t$ .

- (a) Use this tree  $T^*$  to find a TSPP of length at most

$$2c(T^*) - c(s, t).$$

- (b) Use an argument similar to Cristofides' (again using  $T^*$  and  $OPT$ ) to find a TSPP of length at most

$$\frac{3}{2}OPT + \frac{1}{2}c(s, t).$$

- (c) Use the above parts to give a  $5/3$ -approximation to TSPP. (I.e., a poly-time algorithm to find an TSPP in  $G$  of length at most  $\frac{5}{3}$  times the shortest TSPP.)