

Lazy Code Motion


- I. Forms of redundancy (quick review)
 - global common subexpression elimination
 - loop invariant code motion
 - partial redundancy
- II. Lazy Code Motion Algorithm
 - Mathematical concept: a cut set
 - Basic technique (anticipation)
 - 3 more passes to refine algorithm

Reading: Chapter 9.5

Overview


- Eliminates many forms of redundancy in one fell swoop
- Originally formulated as 1 bi-directional analysis
- Lazy code motion algorithm
 - formulated as 4 separate uni-directional passes
 - backward, forward, forward, backward

I. Common Subexpression Elimination




- A common expression may have different values on different paths!
- On every path reaching p ,
 - expression $b+c$ has been computed
 - b, c not overwritten after the expression

Loop Invariant Code Motion



- Given an expression $(b+c)$ inside a loop,
 - does the value of $b+c$ change inside the loop?
 - is the code executed at least once?

Partial Redundancy




- Can we place calculations of $b+c$ such that no path re-executes the same expression
- **Partial Redundancy Elimination (PRE)**
 - subsumes:
 - global common subexpression (full redundancy)
 - loop invariant code motion (partial redundancy for loops)

II. Lazy Code Motion

- **Key observation:**
 - A **bi-directional** (!) data flow problem can be replaced with **several unidirectional** data flow problems \rightarrow much easier
 - **Better result** as well!


Preparing the Flow Graph



- **Definition: Critical edges**
 - **source** basic block has **multiple successors**
 - **destination** basic block has **multiple predecessors**
- **Modify the flow graph: (treat every statement as a basic block)**
 - To keep algorithm simple: restrict placement of instructions to the beginning of a basic block
 - Add a basic block for every edge that leads to a basic block with multiple predecessors (not just on critical edges)


Full Redundancy: A Cut Set in a Graph

Key mathematical concept



- **Full redundancy at p: expression $a+b$ redundant on all paths**
 - a **cut set**: nodes that separate entry from p
 - a cut set **contains calculation of $a+b$**
 - a, b , not redefined

Partial Redundancy: Completing a Cut Set



- **Partial redundancy at p: redundant on some but not all paths**
 - Add operations to create a cut set containing a+b
 - Note: Moving operations up can eliminate redundancy
- **Constraint on placement: no wasted operation**
 - a+b is "anticipated" at B if its value computed at B will be used along ALL subsequent paths
 - a, b not redefined, no branches that lead to exit without use
- **Range where a+b is anticipated → Choice**

Pass 1: Anticipated Expressions

This pass does most of the heavy lifting in eliminating redundancy


- **Backward pass: Anticipated expressions**
- **Anticipated[b].in: Set of expressions anticipated at the entry of b**
 - An expression is anticipated if its value computed at point p will be used along ALL subsequent paths

	Anticipated Expressions
Domain	Sets of expressions
Direction	backward
Transfer Function	$f_b(x) = EUse_b \cup (x - EKill_b)$ EUse: used exp, EKill: exp killed
\wedge	\cap
Boundary	$in[exit] = \emptyset$
Initialization	$in[b] = \{all\ expressions\}$


- **First approximation:**
 - place operations at the frontier of anticipation (boundary between not anticipated and anticipated)

Examples (1)

See the algorithm in action




Examples (2)



- Cannot eliminate all redundancy

Examples (3)




- Do you know how the algorithm works without simulating it?

Pass 2: Place As Early As Possible

There is still some redundancy left!

- **First approximation:** frontier between “not anticipated” & “anticipated”
- **Complication:** anticipation may **oscillate**



- Pretend we calculate expression e whenever it is anticipated
- e will be **available** at p if e has been “anticipated but not subsequently killed” on all paths reaching p

	Available Expressions
Domain	Sets of expressions
Direction	forward
Transfer Function	$f_b(x) = (\text{Anticipated}[b].in \cup x) - \text{EKill}_b$
Λ	\cap
Boundary	$\text{out}[\text{entry}] = \emptyset$
Initialization	$\text{out}[b] = \{\text{all expressions}\}$


Early Placement

- **earliest(b)**
 - set of expressions added to block b under early placement
- **Place expression at the earliest point anticipated and not already available**
 - $\text{earliest}(b) = \text{anticipated}[b].in - \text{available}[b].in$
- **Algorithm**
 - For all basic block b , if $x+y \in \text{earliest}[b]$
 - at beginning of b :
 - create a new variable t
 - $t = x+y$,
 - replace every original $x+y$ by t

Pass 3: Lazy Code Motion

Let's be lazy without introducing redundancy.

- **Delay creating redundancy to reduce register pressure**




- An expression e is **postponable** at a program point p if
 - all paths leading to p have seen the earliest placement of e but not a subsequent use

	Postponable Expressions
Domain	Sets of expressions
Direction	forward
Transfer Function	$f_b(x) = (\text{earliest}[b] \cup x) - \text{EUse}_e$
Λ	\cap
Boundary	$\text{out}[\text{entry}] = \emptyset$
Initialization	$\text{out}[b] = \{\text{all expressions}\}$


Latest: frontier at the end of “postponable” cut set

- $latest[b] = (earliest[b] \cup postponable.in[b]) \cap (EUse_b \cup \neg(\bigcap_{s \in succ[b]} (earliest[s] \cup postponable.in[s])))$
 - OK to place expression: **earliest** or **postponable**
 - used in b, or
 - not OK to place in one of its successors
- Works because of **pre-processing step** (an empty block was introduced to an edge if the destination has multiple predecessors)
 - if b has a successor that cannot accept postponement, b has only one successor
 - The following does not exist:



Pass 4: Cleaning Up

Finally... this is easy, it is like liveness



- **Eliminate temporary variable assignments unused beyond current block**
- **Compute: Used.out[b]: sets of used (live) expressions at exit of b.**

	Used Expressions
Domain	Sets of expressions
Direction	backward
Transfer Function	$f_b(x) = (EUse[b] \cup x) - latest[b]$
A	U
Boundary	$in[exit] = \emptyset$
Initialization	$in[b] = \emptyset$

Code Transformation

- For all basic blocks b,
 - if $(x+y) \in (latest[b] \cap used.out[b])$ at beginning of b:
 - add new $t = x+y$
 - replace every original $x+y$ by t

4 Passes for Partial Redundancy Elimination

- **Heavy lifting: Cannot introduce operations not executed originally**
 - Pass 1 (backward): **Anticipation**: range of code motion
 - Placing operations at the frontier of anticipation gets most of the redundancy
- **Squeezing the last drop of redundancy: An anticipation frontier may cover a subsequent frontier**
 - Pass 2 (forward): **Availability**
 - **Earliest**: anticipated, but not yet available
- **Push the cut set out -- as late as possible To minimize register lifetimes**
 - Pass 3 (forward): **Postponability**: move it down provided it does not create redundancy
 - **Latest**: where it is used or the frontier of postponability
- **Cleaning up**
 - Pass 4: **Remove temporary assignment**

Remarks

- **Powerful algorithm**
 - Finds many forms of redundancy in one unified framework
- **Illustrates the power of data flow**
 - Multiple data flow problems