Shared Memory Systems
Part II

Randal E. Bryant

CS 740
Nov. 24, 1997

Topics

- **Network-Based Systems**
  - Coherence based on directories
- **Maintaining Consistency**
  - Relaxed consistency models

Shared Memory Model



Conceptual View

- **All processors access single memory**
  - Physical address space
  - Use virtual address mapping to partition among processes
- **If one processor updates location, then all will see it**
  - Memory consistency

Network-Based Realization

Memory

- **Partitioned Among Processors**

Network

- **Transmit messages to perform accesses to remote memories**

Caches

- **Local copies of heavily used data**
- **Must avoid stale data**
  - Harder than with bus-based system
  - Lots of things happening simultaneously

Considerations

- **Scales well**
  - 1024 processor systems have been built
- **Nonuniform memory access**
  - 100's of cycles for remote access



Network-Based Cache Coherency

Home-Based Protocol

- **Each block has "home"**
  - Memory controller tracking its status
  - Based on physical address
- **Home maintains**
  - Block status
  - Identity of copy holders
    - » 1 bit flag / processor
  - Only need entry when block has remote copy



Block Status Values

- **Shared**
  - 1 or more remote, read-only copies
- **Exclusive**
  - Single, writeable copy in remote cache
- **Uncached**
  - No remote copies

Network-Based Consistency

To Obtain Copy of Block

- Processor sends message to its home
- Home retrieves remote copy if status is exclusive
- Sends copy to requester
- If exclusive copy requested, send invalidate message to all other copy holders

Tricky Details

- Lots of possible sources of deadlock & errors
- Don't have serialization of events imposed by bus
- Transactions only "seen" by sender & receiver

Example Protocol

- Complete functionality
- Omits optimizations
- Conservative synchronization

Implementation Details

- **p Processor identifier a Block address d Block data**

Messages from Cache to Block Home

Type	*Contents*	*Purpose*
READ	p, a	Processor p wants to read a
XREAD	p, a	Processor p wants to write a
WRITEBACK	p, a, d	Proc. p flushes exclusive block
WRITEHOLD	p, a, d	Proc. p shares exclusive block
RELEASE	p, a	Proc. p flushes clean block

Messages from Block Home to Cache

Type	*Contents*	*Purpose*
INVALIDATE	p, a	Give up clean copy
DATA	p, a, d	Reply to read / write request
FETCH	p, a	Get readable copy from remote
XFETCH	p, a	Get writeable copy from remote
NACK	p, a	Cannot fulfill request

Tricky Issues

Independent Actions between Cache & Home

- **Cache evicts exclusive block while home in process of fetching**
  - Home will get WRITEBACK when expecting WRITEHOLD
  - Cache will receive FETCH for invalid block
- **Cache evicts exclusive block while home in process of xfetching**
  - Cache will receive XFETCH for invalid block

Independent Actions between Two Processor Caches

- **Processor r attempts read or write while home handling read or write request by processor p**
  - Home sends **NACK** to processor r
  - Processor r retries read or write operation
- **Potential for livelock**
  - Processor r keeps getting **NACK**'ed

Performing Processor Operations

- **Processor requests cache to perform load or store**
  - On word in cache block i
- **Cache line currently holds block t**
  - May or may not have i = t
- **Cache can either:**
  - Perform operation using local copy
  - Issue request message to network (*italicized*)
    - » Wait for response (**bold**)
    - » Perhaps stall until completed



Cache Handling of Processor Read



Processing by Home

Home Memory Controller

- **Receives requests from caches**
  - To handle processor reads & writes
  - Unsolicited evictions
- **Sends commands to copy holders**
- **Determines when all outstanding copies invalidated**
- **Responds to requestor**
- **Send NACK if unable to satisfy request**



Home Handling of Processor Read



p	Requesting Processor
q	Copy-holding Processor
r	Competing Processor(s)

S	Set of copy holders
+=	Add to set
−=	Remove from set

Cache Handling of Processor Write



Home Handling of Processor Write



Network Monitoring by Cache

- **Cache receives commands from network**
  - Unlike snooping, only get messages regarding currently-held blocks
- **Possible actions**
  - Invalidate entry and release copy
  - Allow sharing of exclusively-held block
  - Surrender copy of block



Cache Handling of Network Commands



Home Handling of Unsolicited Evictions



S Set of copy holders
+= Add to set
–= Remove from set

q Copy-Holding Processor

Additional Optimizations

Data Forwarding

- **Copy holding processor sends data directly to requestor**
  - But still must synchronize with home
- **Currently pass through home**

Quick Acknowledgement

- **Supply data to requestor before all outstanding copies invalidated**
  - Copy holders may continue reading stale data

Processor wants to write to currently-held clean block

- **Currently treat as write miss**
  - Home will invalidate held copy
- **Request home to invalidate all other copies**
- **May want quick acknowledgement**
  - Implications examined in Asst. 6, Part II

Memory Consistency Revisited

View By Individual Processor

- **Reads and writes to given address occur in program order**
  - Guaranteed by hazard-preventing mechanisms of processor

Sequential Consistency

- **Reads & Writes by processor to distinct address**
- **Overall effect should match that of some interleaving of the individual process steps**

When is a Write "Performed"

- **When any later read by any processor "sees" new data**
- **All outstanding copies invalidated**

Initially: `x = y = 0`

Process A

```
a1:  x = 1
a2: if (y == 0) …
```

Process B

```
b1:  y = 1
b2: if (x == 0) …
```

Sequential Inconsistency

- **Cannot have both tests yield T**
  - b2 must precede a1
  - a2 must precede b1
  - Cannot satisfy these plus program order constraints



Real Life Scenario

- **Process A**
  - Remote write x
    - » Put into write buffer
  - Local read y
- **Process B**
  - Remote write y
    - » Put into write buffer
  - Local read x
- **Could have both reads yield 0**



Sources of Sequential Inconsistency

Processor Write Buffer

- **Allows processor to continue without waiting for write to complete**
  - W -/-> R
    - » write may not complete before later read initiated
- **If > 1 entry, also allows multiple outstanding writes**
  - W -/-> W
    - » writes may not complete in order

Nonblocking Read

- **Processor doesn't stall waiting for read to return result**
  - R -/-> W
- **If > 1 entry load buffer, also allows multiple outstanding reads**
  - R -/-> R

Fast Acknowledgements in Network-Based Protocol

- **Respond to *XREAD* request before all outstanding copies invalidated**

Important Mechanisms for Tolerating Memory Latency

Relaxed Consistency Models

Goals

- **Enable latency tolerating mechanisms as much as possible**
- **Provide concurrent programmer with some mechanisms for program synchronization**

Examples

- **Discussed in H&P Section 8.6**

Supporting Program Synchronization

Typical Scenario

- **Processes need access to shared resources**
  - Tables, shared program state
- **Use software locks to prevent simultaneous access**
  - Shared variables + synchronization conventions

Processor Requirement

- **Use *acquire* primitives to obtain lock**
  - E.g., MIPS LL/SC
- **Read & write shared data only in critical section**
- **Use *release* primitives to release lock**
  - Syncronized store



Release Consistency

- **Weakest model discussed in book**
- **Still allows effective synchronization**

Requirements

- **Acquire operation must complete before any succeeding reads or writes**
  - Don't enter critical section too early
- **Release operation may not begin until all pending reads & writes completed**
  - Don't read or write shared state once lock released



Memory Consistency in CILK

DAG Consistency

- **View control structure as directed acyclic graph**
  - Series-parallel structure formed by spawn's & synch's
- **Guarantee sequential consistency for reads & writes along any path**
  - But no guarantees for potentially concurrent threads



Implications

- **Deterministic outcome as long as no interference among potentially concurrent threads**
  - write-read or write-write
- **Good enough for writing parallel applications**
  - Usually want deterministic results
- **Not adequate for supporting OS functions**

Implementing DAG Consistency

- **DAG dependency between threads i & j on separate processors p & q**
- **Processor p writes back any dirty blocks before passing control from thread i**
  - Home copies of all data produced up through i valid
- **Processor q flushes all blocks before executing thread j**
  - All thread data by j and successors will be retrieved from homes

Comparison to Other Protocols

- **No need to forcibly retrieve data from processor**
- **Well suited to software implementation**