## Assignment 3: GraphRats

## GraphRatsin

## Topics

- Application
- Implementation Issues
- Optimizing for Parallel Performance

■ Useful Advice

## Basic Idea

## - Transitions



- Graph
- WXH grid
- Initial State
- Start with all $R$ rats in center



## Node Count Representation (18 x 12)



## Simulation Example (18 x 12)



- Note moves to nodes not connected by grid
- Explanation to follow


## Simulation Example (18 x 12)

```
t = 20.
```



## ■ Rats dispersed across graph

## Visualizations



## Running it yourself

linux> cd some directory
linux> git clone https://github.com/cmu15418/asst3-s20.git
linux> cd asst3-s20/code
Linux> make demo $X$
$X$ from 1 to 11

- Demos
- 1: Text visualization, synchronous updates
- 2: Heap-map, synchronous updates


## Determining Rat Moves



- Count number of rats at current and adjacent locations
- Adjacency structure represented as graph
- Compute reward value for each location
- Based on load factor $l=$ count/average count
- $l^{*} \quad$ Ideal load factor (ILF) (varying)
- $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \quad$ Fitting parameter (= 0.4 )

$$
\operatorname{Reward}(l)=\frac{1}{1+\left(\log _{2}\left[1+\alpha\left(l-l^{*}\right)\right]\right)^{2}}
$$

## Reward Function

$$
\operatorname{Reward}(l)=\frac{1}{1+\left(\log _{2}\left[1+\alpha\left(l-l^{*}\right)\right]\right)^{2}}
$$



- Maximized at ILF
- Just above average population
- Drops for smaller loads (too few) and larger loads (too crowded)


## Reward Function (cont.)

$$
\operatorname{Reward}(l)=\frac{1}{1+\left(\log _{2}\left[1+\alpha\left(l-l^{*}\right)\right]\right)^{2}}
$$



- Falls off gradually
- $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e w a r d }}(1000)=0.0132$


## Computing Ideal Load Factor (ILF)

- Suppose node has count $c_{l}$ and neighbor has count $c_{r}$
- Compute imbalance as

$$
\beta\left(c_{l}, c_{r}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{c_{r}}-\sqrt{c_{l}}}{\sqrt{c_{l}}+\sqrt{c_{r}}}
$$

- Maximum +1 $c_{r} \gg c_{l}$

■ Minimum -1 $\quad c_{l} \gg c_{r}$


## Computing Ideal Load Factor (cont.)

- For node $u$ with population $p(u)$

$$
\hat{\beta}(u)=A v g_{(u, v) \in E}[\beta(p(u), p(v))]
$$

- Define ILF as

$$
l^{*}(u)=1.75+0.5 \cdot \hat{\beta}(u)
$$

- Minimum 1.25
- When adjacent nodes much less crowded

■ Maximum 2.25

- When adjacent nodes much more crowded
- Changes as rats move around


## Selecting Next Move



- Choose random number between 0 and sum of rewards
- Move according to interval hit


## Update Models

- Synchronous
- Demo 3
- Compute next positions for all rats, and then move them
- Causes oscillations/instabilities
- Rat-order
- Demo 4
- For each rat, compute its next position and then move it
- Smooth transitions, but costly
- Batch
- Demo 5
- For each batch of $B$ rats, compute next moves and then move them
- $\mathrm{B}=0.02$ * $R$
- Smooth enough, with better performance possibilities


## What We Provide

- Python version of simulator
- Demos 1-2
- Very slow
- C version of simulator
- Fast sequential implementation
- Demos 3-5: 36X32 grid, 11,520 rats
- Demos 6-11: 180X160 grid, 1,008,000 rats
- That's what we'll be using for benchmarks

■ Generate visualizations by piping $C$ simulator output into Python simulator

- Operating in visualization mode
- See Makefile for examples


## Correctness

- Simulator is Deterministic
- Global random seed
- Random seeds for each rat
- Process rats in fixed order
- You Must Preserve Exact Same Behavior
- Python simulator generates same result as $C$ simulator
- Use regress.py to check
- Only checks small cases
- Useful sanity check
- Benchmark program compares your results to reference solution
- Handles full-sized graphs


## Fractal Graph fracZ (Demos 1-5)

Rats spread quickly within region More slowly across regions Hub nodes tend to have high counts

- Base grid

- WX H nodes, each with nearest neighbor connectivity
- Regions
- Recursively partition rectangles into two or three subrectangles
- Leaves of tree form regions

■ Hubs

- Connect to every other node in region
- Each region has one or three hubs


## Benchmark Graph UniA (Demo 7)



15-418/618 Spring 2020

| Nodes | 28,800 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Edges | 286,780 |
| Regions | 15 |
| Hubs | 45 |
| Max Degree | 1,919 |

## Benchmark Graph UniB (Demo 8)



| Nodes | 28,800 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Edges | 171,400 |
| Regions | 72 |
| Hubs | 72 |
| Max Degree | 399 |

## Benchmark Graph FracC (Demos 9-10)



## Benchmark Graph FracD



| Nodes | 28,800 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Edges | 208,902 |
| Regions | 21 |
| Hubs | 37 |
| Max Degree | 4,899 |

## Initial States (fracZ)

Center (r)
~Demo 7


Diagonal (d)
~Demo 9


Random (u)
~Demo 10



## Graph Representation

N node, M edges


## Sample Code

- From sim.c
- Compute reward value for node

```
/* Compute weight for node nid */
static inline double compute_weight(state_t *s, int nid)
{
    int count = s->rat_count[nid];
    double ilf = neighbor_ilf(s, nid);
    return mweight((double) count/s->load_factor, ilf);
}
```

- Simulation state stored in state_t struct
- Reward function computed by mweight


## Sample Code

- From sim.c
- Compute reward value for all nodes

```
static inline void compute_all_weights(state_t *s) {
    graph_t *g = s->g;
    double *node_weight = s->node_weight;
    int nid;
    for (nid = 0; nid < g->nnode; nid++)
        node_weight[nid] = compute_weight(s, nid);
}
```

- Simulation state stored in state_t struct


## Sample Code

- From sim.c

■ Compute sum of reward values for node

- Store cumulative value for each edge
- Store total sum for later reuse

```
static inline void find_all_sums(state_t *s) {
    graph_t *g = s->g;
    int nid, eid;
    for (nid = 0; nid < g->nnode; nid++) {
        double sum = 0.0;
        for (eid = g->neighbor_start[nid];
            eid < g->neighbor_start[nid+1];
            eid++) {
            sum += s->node_weight[g->neighbor[eid]];
            s->neighbor_accum_weight[eid] = sum;
        }
        s->sum_weight[nid] = sum;
    }
}
```


## Sample Code

- Compute next move for rat

```
static inline int fast_next_random_move(state_t *s, int r) {
    int nid = s->rat_position[r];
    graph_t *g = s->g;
    random_t *seedp = &s->rat_seed[r];
    double tsum = s->sum_weight[nid];
    double val = next_random_float(seedp, tsum);
    int estart = g->neighbor_start[nid];
    int elen = g->neighbor_start[nid+1] - estart;
    /* Find location by binary search */
    int offset = locate_value(val,
        &s->neighbor_accum_weight[estart],
        elen);
    return g->neighbor[estart + offset];
}
```


## Instrumented Code

- From sim.c
- Wrap major sections with instrumentation macros

```
static inline void find_all_sums(state_t *s) {
    graph_t *g = s->g;
    START_ACTIVITY(ACTIVITY_SUMS);
    int nid, eid;
    for (nid = 0; nid < g->nnode; nid++) {
        double sum = 0.0;
        for (eid = g->neighbor_start[nid];
            eid < g->neighbor_start[nid+1];
            eid++) {
            sum += s->node_weight[g->neighbor[eid]];
            s->neighbor_accum_weight[eid] = sum;
        }
        s->sum_weight[nid] = sum;
    }
    FINISH_ACTIVITY(ACTIVITY_SUMS);
}
```


## Running Instrumented Code

- Demo 11

```
./crun-seq -g data/g-180x160-fracC.gph
    -r data/r-180x160-r35.rats -u b -n 50 -I -q
```

50 steps, 1008000 rats, 13.770 seconds

| 228 ms | 1.6 | $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 10677 ms | $76.3 \%$ | startup |
| 750 ms | $5.4 \%$ | compute_weights |
| 2340 ms | $16.7 \%$ | find_moves |
| 2 ms | 0.0 | $\%$ |
| 13998 ms | $100.0 \%$ | unknown |
|  |  |  |

■ Shows breakdown of where time spent

- See speedups of different parts of code
- Can instrument both your code \& reference version


## Finding Parallelism

- Sequential constraints
- Must complete time steps sequentially
- Must complete each batch before starting next
- ILF values and weights then need to be recomputed
- Sources of parallelism
- Over nodes
- Computing ILFs and reward functions
- Computing cumulative sums
- Over rats (within a batch)
- Computing next moves
- Updating node counts


## Performance Measurements

- Nanoseconds per move (NPM)
- R rats running for S steps
- Requires time $T$ seconds
- NPM $=10^{9} * \mathrm{~T} /\left(\mathrm{R}^{*} \mathrm{~S}\right)$
- Reference solution:
- Average 290 NPM for 1 thread
- Average 44.6 NPM for 12 threads
- Speedups:
- UniA 6.78
- UniB 6.43
- FracC 6.45
- FracD 6.55
- Maybe you can do better!


## Performance Targets

- Benchmarks
- 4 combinations of graph/initial state
- Each counts 16 points
- Target performance
- T = measured time
- $T_{r}=$ time for reference solution
- $T_{r} / T=$ How well you reach reference solution performance
- Full credit when $\geq 0.95$
- Partial when $\geq 0.60$


## Machines

- Latedays cluster
- 16 worker nodes + 1 head node
- Each is 12-core Xeon processor (dual socket with 6 cores each)
- You submit jobs to batch queue
- Assigned single processor for entire run
- Python script provided
- Code Development
- OK to do code development and testing on other machines
- But, they have different performance characteristics
- Max threads on GHC cluster = 8
- Code should run for any number of threads (up to machine limit)


## Some Logos

## Graph cancesientlon

GraphChi: Going small with GraphLab


