Dynamic Memory Allocation: Advanced Concepts

15-213 / 18-213: Introduction to Computer Systems
19th Lecture, Nov. 3, 2011

Instructors:
Todd C. Mowry and Anthony Rowe

Today

- **Explicit free lists**
- Segregated free lists
- Garbage collection
- Memory-related perils and pitfalls

Keeping Track of Free Blocks

- **Method 1: *Implicit free list* using length—links all blocks**



- **Method 2: *Explicit free list* among the free blocks using pointers**



- **Method 3: *Segregated free list***
  - Different free lists for different size classes
- **Method 4: *Blocks sorted by size***
  - Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

Explicit Free Lists



- **Maintain list(s) of *free* blocks, not *all* blocks**
  - The "next" free block could be anywhere
    - So we need to store forward/back pointers, not just sizes
  - Still need boundary tags for coalescing
  - Luckily we track only free blocks, so we can use payload area

Explicit Free Lists

- **Logically:**



- **Physically: blocks can be in any order**



Allocating From Explicit Free Lists

conceptual graphic





Freeing With Explicit Free Lists

- ***Insertion policy*: Where in the free list do you put a newly freed block?**
  - **LIFO (last-in-first-out) policy**
    - Insert freed block at the beginning of the free list
    - *Pro:* simple and constant time
    - *Con:* studies suggest fragmentation is worse than address ordered
  - **Address-ordered policy**
    - Insert freed blocks so that free list blocks are always in address order:

      addr(prev) < addr(curr) < addr(next)
    - *Con:* requires search
    - *Pro:* studies suggest fragmentation is lower than LIFO

Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 1)

conceptual graphic



- **Insert the freed block at the root of the list**



Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 2)

conceptual graphic



- Splice out predecessor block, coalesce both memory blocks, and insert the new block at the root of the list



Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 3)

conceptual graphic



- Splice out successor block, coalesce both memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list



Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 4)

conceptual graphic



- Splice out predecessor and successor blocks, coalesce all 3 memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list



Explicit List Summary

- **Comparison to implicit list:**
  - Allocate is linear time in number of ***free*** blocks instead of ***all*** blocks
    - ***Much faster*** when most of the memory is full
  - Slightly more complicated allocate and free since needs to splice blocks in and out of the list
  - Some extra space for the links (2 extra words needed for each block)
    - Does this increase internal fragmentation?

- **Most common use of linked lists is in conjunction with segregated free lists**
  - Keep multiple linked lists of different size classes, or possibly for different types of objects

Keeping Track of Free Blocks

- **Method 1: *Implicit list* using length—links all blocks**



- **Method 2: *Explicit list* among the free blocks using pointers**



- **Method 3: *Segregated free list***
  - Different free lists for different size classes
- **Method 4: *Blocks sorted by size***
  - Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

Carnegie Mellon

Today

- Explicit free lists
- **Segregated free lists**
- Garbage collection
- Memory-related perils and pitfalls

Carnegie Mellon

Segregated List (Seglist) Allocators

- **Each *size class* of blocks has its own free list**



- **Often have separate classes for each small size**
- **For larger sizes: One class for each two-power size**

Carnegie Mellon

Seglist Allocator

- **Given an array of free lists, each one for some size class**
- **To allocate a block of size *n*:**
  - Search appropriate free list for block of size $m > n$
  - If an appropriate block is found:
    - Split block and place fragment on appropriate list (optional)
  - If no block is found, try next larger class
  - Repeat until block is found
- **If no block is found:**
  - Request additional heap memory from OS (using `sbrk()`)
  - Allocate block of *n* bytes from this new memory
  - Place remainder as a single free block in largest size class.

Seglist Allocator (cont.)

- **To free a block:**
  - Coalesce and place on appropriate list (optional)
- **Advantages of seglist allocators**
  - Higher throughput
    - log time for power-of-two size classes
  - Better memory utilization
    - First-fit search of segregated free list approximates a best-fit search of entire heap.
    - Extreme case: Giving each block its own size class is equivalent to best-fit.

More Info on Allocators

- **D. Knuth, "*The Art of Computer Programming*", 2nd edition, Addison Wesley, 1973**
  - The classic reference on dynamic storage allocation
- **Wilson et al, "*Dynamic Storage Allocation: A Survey and Critical Review*", Proc. 1995 Int'l Workshop on Memory Management, Kinross, Scotland, Sept, 1995.**
  - Comprehensive survey
  - Available from CS:APP student site (csapp.cs.cmu.edu)

Today

- Explicit free lists
- Segregated free lists
- **Garbage collection**
- Memory-related perils and pitfalls

Implicit Memory Management: Garbage Collection

- ***Garbage collection:*** **automatic reclamation of heap-allocated storage—application never has to free**

```
void foo() {
   int *p = malloc(128);
   return; /* p block is now garbage */
}
```

- **Common in many dynamic languages:**
  - Python, Ruby, Java, Perl, ML, Lisp, Mathematica
- **Variants ("conservative" garbage collectors) exist for C and C++**
  - However, cannot necessarily collect all garbage

Garbage Collection

- **How does the memory manager know when memory can be freed?**
  - In general we cannot know what is going to be used in the future since it depends on conditionals
  - But we can tell that certain blocks cannot be used if there are no pointers to them
- **Must make certain assumptions about pointers**
  - Memory manager can distinguish pointers from non-pointers
  - All pointers point to the start of a block
  - Cannot hide pointers
    (e.g., by coercing them to an **`int`**, and then back again)

Classical GC Algorithms

- **Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)**
  - Does not move blocks (unless you also "compact")
- **Reference counting (Collins, 1960)**
  - Does not move blocks (not discussed)
- **Copying collection (Minsky, 1963)**
  - Moves blocks (not discussed)
- **Generational Collectors (Lieberman and Hewitt, 1983)**
  - Collection based on lifetimes
    - Most allocations become garbage very soon
    - So focus reclamation work on zones of memory recently allocated
- **For more information:**
  Jones and Lin, "*Garbage Collection: Algorithms for Automatic Dynamic Memory*", John Wiley & Sons, 1996.

Memory as a Graph

- **We view memory as a directed graph**
  - Each block is a node in the graph
  - Each pointer is an edge in the graph
  - Locations not in the heap that contain pointers into the heap are called ***root*** nodes (e.g. registers, locations on the stack, global variables)



A node (block) is *reachable* if there is a path from any root to that node.

Non-reachable nodes are *garbage* (cannot be needed by the application)

Mark and Sweep Collecting

- **Can build on top of malloc/free package**
  - Allocate using `malloc` until you "run out of space"
- **When out of space:**
  - Use extra ***mark bit*** in the head of each block
  - ***Mark:*** Start at roots and set mark bit on each reachable block
  - ***Sweep:*** Scan all blocks and free blocks that are not marked



Assumptions For a Simple Implementation

- **Application**
  - `new(n)`: returns pointer to new block with all locations cleared
  - `read(b,i)`: read location `i` of block `b` into register
  - `write(b,i,v)`: write `v` into location `i` of block `b`
- **Each block will have a header word**
  - addressed as `b[-1]`, for a block `b`
  - Used for different purposes in different collectors
- **Instructions used by the Garbage Collector**
  - `is_ptr(p)`: determines whether `p` is a pointer
  - `length(b)`: returns the length of block `b`, not including the header
  - `get_roots()`: returns all the roots

Carnegie Mellon

Mark and Sweep (cont.)

Mark using depth-first traversal of the memory graph

```
ptr mark(ptr p) {
   if (!is_ptr(p)) return;         // do nothing if not pointer
   if (markBitSet(p)) return;      // check if already marked
   setMarkBit(p);                  // set the mark bit
   for (i=0; i < length(p); i++)   // call mark on all words
     mark(p[i]);                   //   in the block
   return;
}
```

Sweep using lengths to find next block

```
ptr sweep(ptr p, ptr end) {
   while (p < end) {
      if markBitSet(p)
         clearMarkBit();
      else if (allocateBitSet(p))
         free(p);
      p += length(p);
}
```


Carnegie Mellon

Conservative Mark & Sweep in C

- **A "conservative garbage collector" for C programs**
  - `is_ptr()` determines if a word is a pointer by checking if it points to an allocated block of memory
  - But, in C pointers can point to the middle of a block



- **So how to find the beginning of the block?**
  - Can use a balanced binary tree to keep track of all allocated blocks (key is start-of-block)
  - Balanced-tree pointers can be stored in header (use two additional words)



Left: smaller addresses
Right: larger addresses

Carnegie Mellon

Today

- Explicit free lists
- Segregated free lists
- Garbage collection
- **Memory-related perils and pitfalls**

Memory-Related Perils and Pitfalls

- Dereferencing bad pointers
- Reading uninitialized memory
- Overwriting memory
- Referencing nonexistent variables
- Freeing blocks multiple times
- Referencing freed blocks
- Failing to free blocks

C operators

<table>
<tr><th>Operators</th><th>Associativity</th></tr>
<tr><td><code>() [] -> .</code></td><td>left to right</td></tr>
<tr><td><code>! ~ ++ -- + - * & (type) sizeof</code></td><td>right to left</td></tr>
<tr><td><code>* / %</code></td><td>left to right</td></tr>
<tr><td><code>+ -</code></td><td>left to right</td></tr>
<tr><td><code><< >></code></td><td>left to right</td></tr>
<tr><td><code>< <= > >=</code></td><td>left to right</td></tr>
<tr><td><code>== !=</code></td><td>left to right</td></tr>
<tr><td><code>&</code></td><td>left to right</td></tr>
<tr><td><code>^</code></td><td>left to right</td></tr>
<tr><td><code>|</code></td><td>left to right</td></tr>
<tr><td><code>&&</code></td><td>left to right</td></tr>
<tr><td><code>||</code></td><td>left to right</td></tr>
<tr><td><code>?:</code></td><td>right to left</td></tr>
<tr><td><code>= += -= *= /= %= &= ^= != <<= >>=</code></td><td>right to left</td></tr>
<tr><td><code>,</code></td><td>left to right</td></tr>
</table>

- `->`, `()`, and `[]` have high precedence, with `*` and `&` just below
- Unary `+`, `-`, and `*` have higher precedence than binary forms

Source: K&R page 53

C Pointer Declarations: Test Yourself!

```
int *p

int *p[13]

int *(p[13])

int **p

int (*p)[13]

int *f()

int (*f)()

int (*(*f())[13])()


int (*(*x[3])())[5]
```

Source: K&R Sec 5.12

Dereferencing Bad Pointers

- The classic `scanf` bug

```
int val;

...

scanf("%d", val);
```

Reading Uninitialized Memory

- Assuming that heap data is initialized to zero

```
/* return y = Ax */
int *matvec(int **A, int *x) {
   int *y = malloc(N*sizeof(int));
   int i, j;

   for (i=0; i<N; i++)
      for (j=0; j<N; j++)
         y[i] += A[i][j]*x[j];
   return y;
}
```


Overwriting Memory

- Allocating the (possibly) wrong sized object

```
int **p;

p = malloc(N*sizeof(int));

for (i=0; i<N; i++) {
   p[i] = malloc(M*sizeof(int));
}
```


Overwriting Memory

- Off-by-one error

```
int **p;

p = malloc(N*sizeof(int *));

for (i=0; i<=N; i++) {
   p[i] = malloc(M*sizeof(int));
}
```


Overwriting Memory

- Not checking the max string size

```
char s[8];
int i;

gets(s);  /* reads "123456789" from stdin */
```

- Basis for classic buffer overflow attacks

Overwriting Memory

- Misunderstanding pointer arithmetic

```
int *search(int *p, int val) {

   while (*p && *p != val)
      p += sizeof(int);

   return p;
}
```


Overwriting Memory

- Referencing a pointer instead of the object it points to

```
int *BinheapDelete(int **binheap, int *size) {
   int *packet;
   packet = binheap[0];
   binheap[0] = binheap[*size - 1];
   *size--;
   Heapify(binheap, *size, 0);
   return(packet);
}
```


Referencing Nonexistent Variables

- Forgetting that local variables disappear when a function returns

```
int *foo () {
   int val;

   return &val;
}
```


Freeing Blocks Multiple Times

- Nasty!

```
x = malloc(N*sizeof(int));
        <manipulate x>
free(x);

y = malloc(M*sizeof(int));
        <manipulate y>
free(x);
```

Referencing Freed Blocks

- Evil!

```
x = malloc(N*sizeof(int));
  <manipulate x>
free(x);
   ...
y = malloc(M*sizeof(int));
for (i=0; i<M; i++)
   y[i] = x[i]++;
```


Failing to Free Blocks (Memory Leaks)

- Slow, long-term killer!

```
foo() {
   int *x = malloc(N*sizeof(int));
   ...
   return;
}
```


Failing to Free Blocks (Memory Leaks)

- Freeing only part of a data structure

```
struct list {
   int val;
   struct list *next;
};

foo() {
   struct list *head = malloc(sizeof(struct list));
   head->val = 0;
   head->next = NULL;
   <create and manipulate the rest of the list>
    ...
   free(head);
   return;
}
```


Dealing With Memory Bugs

- Conventional debugger (`gdb`)
  - Good for finding bad pointer dereferences
  - Hard to detect the other memory bugs
- Debugging `malloc` (UToronto CSRI `malloc`)
  - Wrapper around conventional `malloc`
  - Detects memory bugs at `malloc` and `free` boundaries
    - Memory overwrites that corrupt heap structures
    - Some instances of freeing blocks multiple times
    - Memory leaks
  - Cannot detect all memory bugs
    - Overwrites into the middle of allocated blocks
    - Freeing block twice that has been reallocated in the interim
    - Referencing freed blocks

Dealing With Memory Bugs (cont.)

- **Some malloc implementations contain checking code**
  - Linux glibc malloc: `setenv MALLOC_CHECK_ 3`
  - FreeBSD: `setenv MALLOC_OPTIONS AJR`
- **Binary translator: valgrind (Linux), Purify**
  - Powerful debugging and analysis technique
  - Rewrites text section of executable object file
  - Can detect all errors as debugging `malloc`
  - Can also check each individual reference at runtime
    - Bad pointers
    - Overwriting
    - Referencing outside of allocated block
- **Garbage collection (Boehm-Weiser Conservative GC)**
  - Let the system free blocks instead of the programmer.