Topics

- Limitations of iterative servers
- Process-based concurrent servers
- Event-based concurrent servers
- Threads-based concurrent servers
**Iterative Servers**

Iterative servers process one request at a time.
Solution: use *concurrent servers* instead.

- Concurrent servers use multiple concurrent flows to serve multiple clients at the same time.
Concurrent servers handle multiple requests concurrently.

User goes out to lunch

Client 1 blocks waiting for user to type in data

---

1. Call `connect`
2. Return `connect`
3. Call `fgets`

---

1. Call `accept`
2. Return `accept`
3. Fork
4. Call `read`
5. Write
6. Call `read`
7. End read
8. Close

---

1. Call `connect`
2. Return `connect`
3. Call `fgets`
4. Call `read`
5. Write
6. Call `read`
7. End read
8. Close
Three Basic Mechanisms for Creating Concurrent Flows

1. Processes
   - Kernel automatically interleaves multiple logical flows.
   - Each flow has its own private address space.

2. I/O multiplexing with `select()`
   - User manually interleaves multiple logical flows.
   - Each flow shares the same address space.
   - Popular for high-performance server designs.

3. Threads
   - Kernel automatically interleaves multiple logical flows.
   - Each flow shares the same address space.
   - Hybrid of processes and I/O multiplexing!
Process-Based Concurrent Server

/*
 * echoserverp.c - A concurrent echo server based on processes
 * Usage: echoserverp <port>
 */
#include <ics.h>
define BUFSIZE 1024
void echo(int connfd);
void handler(int sig);

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    int listenfd, connfd;
    int portno;
    struct sockaddr_in clientaddr;
    int clientlen = sizeof(struct sockaddr_in);

    if (argc != 2) {
        fprintf(stderr, "usage: %s <port>\n", argv[0]);
        exit(0);
    }
    portno = atoi(argv[1]);
    listenfd = open_listenfd(portno);
Process-Based Concurrent Server (cont)

```c
Signal(SIGCHLD, handler); /* parent must reap children! */

/* main server loop */
while (1) {
    connfd = Accept(listenfd, (struct sockaddr *) &clientaddr,
                    &clientlen));
    if (Fork() == 0) {
        Close(listenfd); /* child closes its listening socket */
        echo(connfd);   /* child reads and echoes input line */
        Close(connfd);  /* child is done with this client */
        exit(0);        /* child exits */
    }
    Close(connfd);   /* parent must close connected socket! */
}
```
Process-Based Concurrent Server (cont)

```c
/* handler - reaps children as they terminate */
void handler(int sig) {
    pid_t pid;
    int stat;

    while ((pid = waitpid(-1, &stat, WNOHANG)) > 0)
        ;
    return;
}
```
Implementation Issues With Process-Based Designs

Server should restart `accept` call if it is interrupted by a transfer of control to the SIGCHLD handler

- Not necessary for systems with POSIX signal handling.
  - Our `Signal` wrapper tells kernel to automatically restart `accept`
- Required for portability on some older Unix systems.

Server must reap zombie children

- to avoid fatal memory leak.

Server must `close` its copy of `connfd`.

- Kernel keeps reference for each socket.
- After fork, `refcnt(connfd) = 2`.
- Connection will not be closed until `refcnt(connfd) = 0`.
Pros and Cons of Process-Based Designs

+ Handles multiple connections concurrently
+ Clean sharing model
  - descriptors (no)
  - file tables (yes)
  - global variables (no)
+ Simple and straightforward.
- Additional overhead for process control.
- Nontrivial to share data between processes.
  - Requires IPC (interprocess communication) mechanisms
    FIFO’s (named pipes), System V shared memory and semaphores

I/O multiplexing provides more control with less overhead...
Event-Based Concurrent Servers
Using I/O Multiplexing

Maintain a pool of connected descriptors.

Repeat the following forever:

- Use the Unix `select` function to block until:
  - (a) New connection request arrives on the listening descriptor.
  - (b) New data arrives on an existing connected descriptor.
- If (a), add the new connection to the pool of connections.
- If (b), read any available data from the connection
  - Close connection on EOF and remove it from the pool.
The **select** Function

**select()** sleeps until one or more file descriptors in the set `readset` are ready for reading.

```c
#include <sys/select.h>

int select(int maxfdp1, fd_set *readset, NULL, NULL, NULL);
```

**readset**
- Opaque bit vector (max FD_SETSIZE bits) that indicates membership in a *descriptor set*.
- If bit k is 1, then descriptor k is a member of the descriptor set.

**maxfdp1**
- Maximum descriptor in descriptor set plus 1.
- Tests descriptors 0, 1, 2, ..., maxfdp1 - 1 for set membership.

**select()** returns the number of ready descriptors and sets each bit of `readset` to indicate the ready status of its corresponding descriptor.
Macros for Manipulating Set Descriptors

```c
void FD_ZERO(fd_set *fdset);
    ■ Turn off all bits in fdset.

void FD_SET(int fd, fd_set *fdset);
    ■ Turn on bit fd in fdset.

void FD_CLR(int fd, fd_set *fdset);
    ■ Turn off bit fd in fdset.

int FD_ISSET(int fd, *fdset);
    ■ Is bit fd in fdset turned on?
```
/*
 * main loop: wait for connection request or stdin command. 
 * If connection request, then echo input line 
 * and close connection. If stdin command, then process. 
 */
printf("server> ");
fflush(stdout);

while (notdone) {
  /*
   * select: check if the user typed something to stdin or 
   * if a connection request arrived. 
   */
  FD_ZERO(&readfds);          /* initialize the fd set */
  FD_SET(listenfd, &readfds); /* add socket fd */
  FD_SET(0, &readfds);        /* add stdin fd (0) */
  Select(listenfd+1, &readfds, NULL, NULL, NULL);
First we check for a pending event on stdin.

```c
/* if the user has typed a command, process it */
if (FD_ISSET(0, &readfds)) {
    fgets(buf, BUFSIZE, stdin);
    switch (buf[0]) {
    case 'c': /* print the connection count */
        printf("Received %d conn. requests so far.\n", connectcnt);
        printf("server> ");
        fflush(stdout);
        break;
    case 'q': /* terminate the server */
        notdone = 0;
        break;
    default: /* bad input */
        printf("ERROR: unknown command\n");
        printf("server> ");
        fflush(stdout);
    }
}
```
Next we check for a pending connection request.

/* if a connection request has arrived, process it */
if (FD_ISSET(listenfd, &readfds)) {
    connfd = Accept(listenfd,
                     (struct sockaddr *) &clientaddr, &clientlen);
    connectcnt++;
    bzero(buf, BUFSIZE);
    Rio_readn(connfd, buf, BUFSIZE);
    Rio_writen(connfd, buf, strlen(buf));
    Close(connfd);
}
} /* while */
Event-based Concurrent Echo Server

/*
 * echoservers.c - A concurrent echo server based on select
 */
#include "csapp.h"

typedef struct { /* represents a pool of connected descriptors */
    int maxfd;        /* largest descriptor in read_set */
    fd_set read_set;  /* set of all active descriptors */
    fd_set ready_set; /* subset of descriptors ready for reading */
    int nready;       /* number of ready descriptors from select */
    int maxi;         /* highwater index into client array */
    int clientfd[FD_SETSIZE];  /* set of active descriptors */
    rio_t clientrio[FD_SETSIZE]; /* set of active read buffers */
} pool;

int byte_cnt = 0; /* counts total bytes received by server */
Event-based Concurrent Server (cont)

```c
int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    int listenfd, connfd, clientlen = sizeof(struct sockaddr_in);
    struct sockaddr_in clientaddr;
    static pool pool;

    listenfd = Open_listenfd(argv[1]);
    init_pool(listenfd, &pool);

    while (1) {
        pool.ready_set = pool.read_set;
        pool.nready = Select(pool.maxfd+1, &pool.ready_set,
                              NULL, NULL, NULL);

        if (FD_ISSET(listenfd, &pool.ready_set)) {
            connfd = Accept(listenfd, (SA *)&clientaddr,&clientlen);
            add_client(connfd, &pool);
        }
        check_clients(&pool);
    }
}
```
/* initialize the descriptor pool */
void init_pool(int listenfd, pool *p)
{
    /* Initially, there are no connected descriptors */
    int i;
    p->maxi = -1;
    for (i=0; i< FD_SETSIZE; i++)
        p->clientfd[i] = -1;

    /* Initially, listenfd is only member of select read set */
    p->maxfd = listenfd;
    FD_ZERO(&p->read_set);
    FD_SET(listenfd, &p->read_set);
}
**Event-based Concurrent Server (cont)**

```c
void add_client(int connfd, pool *p)  /* add connfd to pool p */
{
    int i;
    p->nready--;

    for (i = 0; i < FD_SETSIZE; i++)  /* Find available slot */
        if (p->clientfd[i] < 0)  /* Find available slot */
            if (p->clientfd[i] = connfd;
                Rio_readinitb(&p->clientrio[i], connfd);

                FD_SET(connfd, &p->read_set); /* Add desc to read set */

                if (connfd > p->maxfd) /* Update max descriptor num */
                    p->maxfd = connfd;
                if (i > p->maxi) /* Update pool high water mark */
                    p->maxi = i;
            break;
    }
    if (i == FD_SETSIZE) /* Couldn't find an empty slot */
        app_error("add_client error: Too many clients");
```
void check_clients(pool *p) { /* echo line from ready descs in pool p */
    int i, connfd, n;
    char buf[MAXLINE];
    rio_t rio;

    for (i = 0; (i <= p->maxi) && (p->nready > 0); i++) {
        connfd = p->clientfd[i];
        rio = p->clientrio[i];

        /* If the descriptor is ready, echo a text line from it */
        if ((connfd > 0) && (FD_ISSET(connfd, &p->ready_set))) {
            p->nready--;
            if ((n = Rio_readlineb(&rio, buf, MAXLINE)) != 0) {
                byte_cnt += n;
                Rio_writen(connfd, buf, n);
            } else { /* EOF detected, remove descriptor from pool */
                Close(connfd);
                FD_CLR(connfd, &p->read_set);
                p->clientfd[i] = -1;
            }
        }
    }
}
Pro and Cons of Event-Based Designs

+ One logical control flow.
+ Can single-step with a debugger.
+ No process or thread control overhead.
  - Design of choice for high-performance Web servers and search engines.
- Significantly more complex to code than process- or thread-based designs.
- Can be vulnerable to denial of service attack
  - How?

*Threads provide a middle ground between processes and I/O multiplexing...*
Traditional View of a Process

Process = process context + code, data, and stack

**Process context**
- Program context:
  - Data registers
  - Condition codes
  - Stack pointer (SP)
  - Program counter (PC)
- Kernel context:
  - VM structures
  - Descriptor table
  - brk pointer

**Code, data, and stack**
- stack
- shared libraries
- run-time heap
- read/write data
- read-only code/data

0
Alternate View of a Process

Process = thread + code, data, and kernel context

Thread (main thread)

- Stack
- Thread context:
  - Data registers
  - Condition codes
  - Stack pointer (SP)
  - Program counter (PC)

Code and Data

- Shared libraries
- Run-time heap
- Read/write data
- Read-only code/data

Kernel context:

- VM structures
- Descriptor table
- Brk pointer

SP
PC
A Process With Multiple Threads

Multiple threads can be associated with a process

- Each thread has its own logical control flow (sequence of PC values)
- Each thread shares the same code, data, and kernel context
- Each thread has its own thread id (TID)

Thread 1 (main thread)

Thread 2 (peer thread)

Shared code and data

- shared libraries
- run-time heap
- read/write data
- read-only code/data

Kernel context:

- VM structures
- Descriptor table
- brk pointer

Thread 1 context:

- Data registers
- Condition codes
- SP1
- PC1

Stack 1

Stack 2

Thread 2 context:

- Data registers
- Condition codes
- SP2
- PC2
Logical View of Threads

Threads associated with a process form a pool of peers.

- Unlike processes which form a tree hierarchy

Threads associated with process foo

Process hierarchy
Concurrent Thread Execution

Two threads run concurrently (are concurrent) if their logical flows overlap in time. Otherwise, they are sequential.

Examples:
- Concurrent: A & B, A&C
- Sequential: B & C
Threads vs. Processes

How threads and processes are similar

- Each has its own logical control flow.
- Each can run concurrently.
- Each is context switched.

How threads and processes are different

- Threads share code and data, processes (typically) do not.
- Threads are somewhat less expensive than processes.
  - Process control (creating and reaping) is twice as expensive as thread control.
  - Linux/Pentium III numbers:
    - ~20K cycles to create and reap a process.
    - ~10K cycles to create and reap a thread.
Posix Threads (Pthreads) Interface

Pthreads: Standard interface for ~60 functions that manipulate threads from C programs.

- Creating and reaping threads.
  - `pthread_create`
  - `pthread_join`

- Determining your thread ID
  - `pthread_self`

- Terminating threads
  - `pthread_cancel`
  - `pthread_exit`
  - `exit` [terminates all threads], `ret` [terminates current thread]

- Synchronizing access to shared variables
  - `pthread_mutex_init`
  - `pthread_mutex_[un]lock`
  - `pthread_cond_init`
  - `pthread_cond_[timed]wait`
The Pthreads "hello, world" Program

```c
/*
 * hello.c - Pthreads "hello, world" program
 */
#include "csapp.h"

void *thread(void *vargp);

int main() {
    pthread_t tid;
    Pthread_create(&tid, NULL, thread, NULL);
    Pthread_join(tid, NULL);
    exit(0);
}

/* thread routine */
void *thread(void *vargp) {
    printf("Hello, world!\n");
    return NULL;
}
```

Thread attributes (usually NULL)

Thread arguments (void *p)

return value (void **p)
Execution of Threaded "hello, world"

main thread

call Pthread_create()
Pthread_create() returns

call Pthread_join()

main thread waits for peer thread to terminate

Pthread_join() returns

exit()
terminates main thread and any peer threads

peer thread

printf()
return NULL;
(peer thread terminates)
int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    int listenfd, *connfdp, port, clientlen;
    struct sockaddr_in clientaddr;
    pthread_t tid;

    if (argc != 2) {
        fprintf(stderr, "usage: %s <port>
", argv[0]);
        exit(0);
    }
    port = atoi(argv[1]);

    listenfd = open_listenfd(port);
    while (1) {
        clientlen = sizeof(clientaddr);
        connfdp = Malloc(sizeof(int));
        *connfdp = Accept(listenfd, (SA *) &clientaddr, &clientlen);
        Pthread_create(&tid, NULL, thread, connfdp);
    }
}
Thread-Based Concurrent Server (cont)

```
* thread routine */
void *thread(void *vargp)
{
    int connfd = *((int *)vargp);
    Pthread_detach(pthread_self());
    Free(vargp);
    echo_r(connfd); /* reentrant version of echo() */
    Close(connfd);
    return NULL;
}
```
Issues With Thread-Based Servers

Must run “detached” to avoid memory leak.
- At any point in time, a thread is either *joinable* or *detached*.
- *Joinable* thread can be reaped and killed by other threads.
  - must be reaped (with pthread_join) to free memory resources.
- *Detached* thread cannot be reaped or killed by other threads.
  - resources are automatically reaped on termination.
- Default state is joinable.
  - use pthread_detach(pthread_self()) to make detached.

Must be careful to avoid unintended sharing.
- For example, what happens if we pass the address of connfd to the thread routine?
  - Pthread_create(&tid, NULL, thread, (void *)&connfd);

All functions called by a thread must be *thread-safe*
- *(next lecture)*
Pros and Cons of Thread-Based Designs

+ Easy to share data structures between threads
  - e.g., logging information, file cache.

+ Threads are more efficient than processes.

--- Unintentional sharing can introduce subtle and hard-to-reproduce errors!
  - The ease with which data can be shared is both the greatest strength and the greatest weakness of threads.
  - (next lecture)