The written portion of this week’s homework will give you some practice working with amortized analysis. You can either type up your solutions or write them *neatly* by hand, and you should submit your work in class on the due date just before lecture begins. Please remember to *staple* your written homework before submission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You must do this assignment in one of two ways and bring the stapled printout to the handin box on Thursday:

1) Write your answers *neatly* on a printout of this PDF.

2) Use the TeX template at [http://www.cs.cmu.edu/afs/cs.cmu.edu/academic/class/15122-s14/www/theory6.tgz](http://www.cs.cmu.edu/afs/cs.cmu.edu/academic/class/15122-s14/www/theory6.tgz)
1. **Amortized Analysis**

Consider a k-bit counter where it costs $2^k$ tokens to flip the kth bit. The rightmost bit (i.e., bit 0) costs 1 token to flip. The next bit to its left (bit 1) costs 2 tokens to flip. The next bit to its left (bit 2) costs 4 tokens to flip. And so on. We wish to analyze the time it takes to perform $n = 2^k$ increments of this k-bit counter.

In the worst case, the maximum cost in tokens of an increment occurs when the counter is currently set to all ones and we add 1 to the counter which causes all bits to flip. In this case, the total cost of an increment is:

$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \ldots + 2^{k-1}$$

(a) Show that in the worst case, the total cost in tokens to increment the counter is $O(n)$.

**Solution:**

Now, we will use amortized analysis to show that although the worst case for an increment is $O(n)$, the amortized cost of an increment over $n$ increments is asymptotically less than this.

(b) How many tokens are required to flip bit 0 through $n$ increments? (THINK: How often does bit 0 flip when we increment $n$ times?)

**Solution:**

How many tokens are required to flip bit 1 through $n$ increments? (THINK: How often does bit 1 flip when we increment $n$ times?)

**Solution:**

(c) Based on your answers to the previous questions, how many tokens are required to increment this counter through $n$ increments using big O notation?

**Solution:**

Based on your answer above, what is the amortized cost of a single increment using big O notation?

**Solution:**
2. A New Implementation of Queues

Recall the interface for `stack` that stores elements of the type `elem`:

```c
/* Stack Interface */
stack stack_new();    /* 0(1) */
bool stack_empty(stack S); /* 0(1) */
void push(elem e, stack S); /* 0(1) */
elem pop(stack S)    /* 0(1) */
//@requires !stack_empty(S);
;
```

We wish to implement a queue using two stacks, called `instack` and `outstack`. For example, the picture below shows a queue with five elements and how they might be stored in the two stacks. (Note that in this example, there are 6 ways to store the elements of the queue using the two stacks. This picture is only one possible way to store the queue shown below.)

```
-------------- | | | A |
A B C D E     | E | | B |
-------------- | D | | C |
```

(left) An abstract queue with A at the front and E at the back.
(right) A representation of this queue using two stacks.

Based on the picture above, when we enqueue an element from our queue, we push it on top of the `instack`. When we dequeue an element from our queue, we pop the top element of the `outstack`. Of course, an issue occurs if we try to dequeue a non-empty queue and our `outstack` is empty. In this case, we need to move all of the elements from the `instack` to the `outstack` first before we pop the top element of the `outstack`.

We can define our queue data structure as follows in C0:

```c
struct queue {
    stack instack;
    stack outstack;
};
typedef struct queue* queue;

queue new_queue()
{
    queue Q = alloc(struct queue);
    Q->instack = stack_new();
    Q->outstack = stack_new();
    return Q;
}
```
(4) (a) Write the queue function \texttt{queue\_empty} that returns true if both stacks are empty.

\begin{verbatim}
Solution:
bool queue_empty(queue Q)
{

}
\end{verbatim}

Write the queue function \texttt{enqueue} based on the description of the data structure above.

\begin{verbatim}
Solution:
void enqueue(elem e, queue Q)
{

}
\end{verbatim}

Write the queue function \texttt{dequeue} based on the description of the data structure above.

\begin{verbatim}
Solution:
elem dequeue(queue Q)
//\@requires !queue_empty(Q);
{

}
\end{verbatim}
(1) (b) We now determine the runtime complexity of the enqueue and dequeue operations. Let $q$ represent the total number of elements in the queue.

What is the worst-case runtime complexity of each of the following queue operations based on the description of the data structure implementation given above? Write ONE sentence that explains each answer.

**Solution:**

enqueue: $O(\ )$

dequeue: $O(\ )$

---

(2) (c) Using amortized analysis, we can show that the worst-case complexity of a valid sequence of $n$ queue operations, where each operation is either an enqueue or dequeue operation, is $O(n)$. This means that the amortized cost per operation is $O(1)$, even though a single operation might require more than constant time.

Assume that each push and each pop operation requires one token to pay for the operation.

How many tokens in total are required to enqueue an element? State for what purpose each token is used.

**Solution:** (Your answer for the number of tokens should be a constant integer since the amortized cost should be $O(1)$.)
How many tokens are required to dequeue an element? When the outstack is empty and we move elements from instack to outstack, why does this not require additional tokens?

**Solution:** (Your answer for the number of tokens should be a constant integer since the amortized cost should be $O(1)$.)