15-441 Computer Networking
Lecture 13 – DNS

Peter Steenkiste
Departments of Computer Science and
Electrical and Computer Engineering

15-441 Networking, Spring 2008
http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~dga/15-441/S08

Outline

- DNS Design
- DNS Today

Naming

- How do we efficiently locate resources?
  - » DNS: name → IP address
- Challenge
  - » How do we scale these to the wide area?
- Is this an application?
  - » Kind of

Obvious Solutions (1)

Why not centralize DNS?

- Single point of failure
- Traffic volume
- Distant centralized database
- Single point of update

- Does not *scale!*

Obvious Solutions (2)

Why not use /etc/hosts, i.e. fully distributed?

- Original Name to Address Mapping
  - » Flat namespace
  - » /etc/hosts
  - » SRI kept main copy
  - » Downloaded regularly
- Count of hosts was increasing: machine per domain → machine per user
  - » Many more downloads
  - » Many more updates
- Does not *scale!*

Domain Name System Goals

- Basically a wide-area distributed database
- Scalability
- Decentralized maintenance
- Robustness
- Global scope
  - » Names mean the same thing everywhere
- Do not need
  - » Atomicity
  - » Strong consistency
  - » Simplifies management

Programmer's View of DNS

- Conceptually, programmers can view the DNS database as a collection of millions of *host entry structures*:

```
/* DNS host entry structure */
struct hostent {
  char    *h_name;      /* official domain name of host */
  char    **h_aliases;  /* null-terminated array of domain names */
  int     h_addrtype;       /* host address type (AF_INET) */
  int     h_length;     /* length of an address, in bytes */
  char    **h_addr_list; /* null-terminated array of in_addr structs */
};
```

  - in_addr is a struct consisting of 4-byte IP address
- Functions for retrieving host entries from DNS:
  - `gethostbyname`: query key is a DNS host name.
  - `gethostbyaddr`: query key is an IP address.

DNS Message Format



DNS Header Fields

- Identification
  - Used to match up request/response
- Flags
  - 1-bit to mark query or response
  - 1-bit to mark authoritative or not
  - 1-bit to request recursive resolution
  - 1-bit to indicate support for recursive resolution

DNS Records

RR format: **(class, name, value, type, ttl)**

- DB contains tuples called resource records (RRs)
  - Classes = Internet (IN), Chaosnet (CH), etc.
  - Each class defines value associated with type

FOR IN class:

- **Type=A**
  - **name is hostname**
  - **value is IP address**
- **Type=NS**
  - **name is domain (e.g. foo.com)**
  - **value is name of authoritative name server for this domain**
- Type=CNAME
  - **name** is an alias name for some "canonical" (the real) name
  - **value** is canonical name
- Type=MX
  - **value** is hostname of mailserver associated with **name**

Different Mappings are Possible

- Simple case: 1-1 mapping between domain name and IP addr:
  - `kittyhawk.cmcl.cs.cmu.edu` maps to `128.2.194.242`
- Multiple domain names maps to the same IP address:
  - `eecs.mit.edu` and `cs.mit.edu` both map to `18.62.1.6`
- Single domain name maps to multiple IP addresses:
  - `aol.com` and `www.aol.com` map to multiple IP addrs.
- Some valid domain names don't map to any IP address:
  - for example: `cmcl.cs.cmu.edu`

DNS Design: Hierarchy Definitions



- Each node in hierarchy stores a list of names that end with same suffix
  - Suffix = path up tree
- E.g., given this tree, where would following be stored:
  - Fred.com
  - Fred.edu
  - Fred.cmu.edu
  - Fred.cmcl.cs.cmu.edu
  - Fred.cs.mit.edu

DNS Design: Zone Definitions



- Zone = contiguous section of name space
  - E.g., Complete tree, single node or subtree
- A zone has an associated set of name servers
  - Must store list of names and tree links

Subtree

Single node

Complete Tree

DNS Design: Cont.

- **Zones are created by convincing owner node to create/delegate a subzone**
  - » **Records within zone stored multiple redundant name servers**
  - » **Primary/master name server updated manually**
  - » **Secondary/redundant servers updated by zone transfer of name space**
    - – **Zone transfer is a bulk transfer of the "configuration" of a DNS server – uses TCP to ensure reliability**
- **Example:**
  - » **CS.CMU.EDU created by CMU.EDU administrators**
  - » **Who creates CMU.EDU or .EDU?**

DNS: Root Name Servers

- **Responsible for "root" zone**
- **Approx. 13 root name servers worldwide**
  - » **Currently {a-m}.root-servers.net**
- **Local name servers contact root servers when they cannot resolve a name**
  - » **Configured with well-known root servers**
  - » **Newer picture →** www.root-servers.org



Servers/Resolvers

- **Each host has a resolver**
  - » **Typically a library that applications can link to**
  - » **Local name servers hand-configured (e.g. /etc/resolv.conf)**
- **Name servers**
  - » **Either responsible for some zone or…**
  - » **Local servers**
    - – **Do lookup of distant host names for local hosts**
    - – **Typically answer queries about local zone**

Typical Resolution



Typical Resolution

- **Steps for resolving www.cmu.edu**
  - » **Application calls gethostbyname() (RESOLVER)**
  - » **Resolver contacts local name server (S_1)**
  - » **S_1 queries root server (S_2) for (**www.cmu.edu**)**
  - » **S_2 returns NS record for cmu.edu (S_3)**
  - » **What about A record for S_3?**
    - – **This is what the additional information section is for (PREFETCHING)**
  - » **S_1 queries S_3 for** www.cmu.edu
  - » **S_3 returns A record for** www.cmu.edu
- **Can return multiple A records → what does this mean?**

Lookup Methods

Recursive query:

- Server goes out and searches for more info (recursive)
- Only returns final answer or "not found"

Iterative query:

- Server responds with as much as it knows (iterative)
- "I don't know this name, but ask this server"

Workload impact on choice?

- Local server typically does recursive
- Root/distant server does iterative



Workload and Caching

- Are all servers/names likely to be equally popular?
  - » Why might this be a problem?
  - » How can we solve this problem?
- DNS responses are cached
  - » Quick response for repeated translations
  - » Other queries may reuse some parts of lookup
    - – NS records for domains
- DNS negative queries are cached
  - » Don't have to repeat past mistakes
  - » E.g. misspellings, search strings in resolv.conf
- Cached data periodically times out
  - » Lifetime (TTL) of data controlled by owner of data
  - » TTL passed with every record

Typical Resolution



Subsequent Lookup Example



Reliability

- DNS servers are replicated
  - » Name service available if ≥ one replica is up
  - » Queries can be load balanced between replicas
- UDP used for queries
  - » Need reliability → must implement this on top of UDP!
  - » Why not just use TCP?
- Try alternate servers on timeout
  - » Exponential backoff when retrying same server
- Same identifier for all queries
  - » Don't care which server responds

Reverse DNS



- Task
  - » Given IP address, find its name
- Method
  - » Maintain separate hierarchy based on IP names
  - » Write 128.2.194.242 as 242.194.128.2.in-addr.arpa
    - – Why is the address reversed?
- Managing
  - » Authority manages IP addresses assigned to it
  - » E.g., CMU manages name space 128.2.in-addr.arpa

.arpa Name Server Hierarchy



- At each level of hierarchy, have group of servers that are authorized to handle that region of hierarchy

Prefetching

- Name servers can add additional data to response
- Typically used for prefetching
  - » CNAME/MX/NS typically point to another host name
  - » Responses include address of host referred to in "additional section"

Mail Addresses

- MX records point to mail exchanger for a name
  - » E.g. mail.acm.org is MX for acm.org
- Addition of MX record type proved to be a challenge
  - » How to get mail programs to lookup MX record for mail delivery?
  - » Needed critical mass of such mailers

Outline

- DNS Design
- DNS Today

Root Zone

- Generic Top Level Domains (gTLD) = .com, .net, .org, etc…
- Country Code Top Level Domain (ccTLD) = .us, .ca, .fi, .uk, etc…
- Root server ({a-m}.root-servers.net) also used to cover gTLD domains
  - » Load on root servers was growing quickly!
  - » Moving .com, .net, .org off root servers was clearly necessary to reduce load → done Aug 2000

gTLDs

- Unsponsored
  - » .com, .edu, .gov, .mil, .net, .org
  - » .biz → businesses
  - » .info → general info
  - » .name → individuals
- Sponsored (controlled by a particular association)
  - » .aero → air-transport industry
  - » .cat → catalan related
  - » .coop → business cooperatives
  - » .jobs → job announcements
  - » .museum → museums
  - » .pro → accountants, lawyers, and physicians
  - » .travel → travel industry
- Starting up
  - » .mobi → mobile phone targeted domains
  - » .post → postal
  - » .tel → telephone related
- Proposed
  - » .asia, .cym, .geo, .kid, .mail, .sco, .web, .xxx

New Registrars

- Network Solutions (NSI) used to handle all registrations, root servers, etc…
  - » Clearly not the democratic (Internet) way
  - » Large number of registrars that can create new domains → However NSI still handles A root server

Measurements of DNS

- No centralized caching per site
  - » Each machine runs own caching local server
  - » Why is this a problem?
  - » How many hosts do we need to share cache? → recent studies suggest 10-20 hosts
- "Hit rate for DNS = 80% → 1 - (#DNS/#connections)
  - » Is this good or bad?
  - » Most Internet traffic was Web with HTTP 1.0
    - – What does a typical page look like? → average of 4-5 imbedded objects → needs 4-5 transfers
    - – This alone accounts for 80% hit rate!
- Lower TTLs for A records does not affect performance
- DNS performance really relies more on NS-record caching

Tracing Hierarchy (1)

- Dig Program
  - » Allows querying of DNS system
  - » Use flags to find name server (NS)
  - » Disable recursion so that operates one step at a time

```
unix> dig +norecurse @a.root-servers.net NS kittyhawk.cmcl.cs.cmu.edu

;; AUTHORITY SECTION:
edu.            172800  IN      NS      L3.NSTLD.COM.
edu.            172800  IN      NS      D3.NSTLD.COM.
edu.            172800  IN      NS      A3.NSTLD.COM.
edu.            172800  IN      NS      E3.NSTLD.COM.
edu.            172800  IN      NS      C3.NSTLD.COM.
edu.            172800  IN      NS      F3.NSTLD.COM.
edu.            172800  IN      NS      G3.NSTLD.COM.
edu.            172800  IN      NS      B3.NSTLD.COM.
edu.            172800  IN      NS      M3.NSTLD.COM.
```

  - » All .edu names handled by set of servers

Tracing Hierarchy (2)

- 3 servers handle CMU names

```
unix> dig +norecurse @e3.nstld.com NS kittyhawk.cmcl.cs.cmu.edu

;; AUTHORITY SECTION:
cmu.edu.        172800  IN      NS      CUCUMBER.SRV.cs.cmu.edu.
cmu.edu.        172800  IN      NS      T-NS1.NET.cmu.edu.
cmu.edu.        172800  IN      NS      T-NS2.NET.cmu.edu.
```


Tracing Hierarchy (3 & 4)

- 4 servers handle CMU CS names

```
unix> dig +norecurse @t-ns1.net.cmu.edu NS kittyhawk.cmcl.cs.cmu.edu

;; AUTHORITY SECTION:
cs.cmu.edu.     86400   IN      NS      MANGO.SRV.cs.cmu.edu.
cs.cmu.edu.     86400   IN      NS      PEACH.SRV.cs.cmu.edu.
cs.cmu.edu.     86400   IN      NS      BANANA.SRV.cs.cmu.edu.
cs.cmu.edu.     86400   IN      NS      BLUEBERRY.SRV.cs.cmu.edu.
```

-

```
unix>dig +norecurse @blueberry.srv.cs.cmu.edu NS
      kittyhawk.cmcl.cs.cmu.edu

;; AUTHORITY SECTION:
cs.cmu.edu.     300     IN      SOA     QUASAR.FAC.cs.cmu.edu
```


DNS (Summary)

- Motivations → large distributed database
  - » Scalability
  - » Independent update
  - » Robustness
- Hierarchical database structure
  - » Zones
  - » How is a lookup done
- Caching/prefetching and TTLs
- Reverse name lookup
- What are the steps to creating your own domain?