

Principles of Software Construction: Objects, Design, and Concurrency

Part 1: Design for reuse

Behavioral subtyping (continued), then
Delegation and inheritance

Charlie Garrod

Bogdan Vasilescu

Administrivia

- Reading assignment due today: Effective Java Items 17 + 50
 - Optional reading due Thursday
 - Required reading due next Tuesday
- Homework 2 due Thursday 11:59 p.m.

Key concepts from last Thursday

Key concepts from last Thursday

- Testing
 - Statement, branch, and path coverage
- Inheritance
 - Implementation inheritance, abstract classes
- Behavioral Subtyping: Liskov Substitution Principle

Selecting test cases

- Write tests based on the specification, for:
 - Representative cases
 - Invalid cases
 - Boundary conditions
- Write stress tests
 - Automatically generate huge numbers of test cases
- Think like an attacker
- Other tests: performance, security, system interactions, ...

A testing example

```
/**  
 * computes the sum of the first len values of the array  
 *  
 * @param array array of integers of at least length len  
 * @param len number of elements to sum up  
 * @return sum of the first len array values  
 * @throws NullPointerException if array is null  
 * @throws ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException if len > array.Length  
 * @throws IllegalArgumentException if len < 0  
 */  
int partialSum(int array[], int len);
```

A testing example

```
/**  
 * computes the sum of the first len values of the array  
 *  
 * @param array array of integers of at least length len  
 * @param len number of elements to sum up  
 * @return sum of the first len array values  
 * @throws NullPointerException if array is null  
 * @throws ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException if len > array.Length  
 * @throws IllegalArgumentException if len < 0  
 */  
int partialSum(int array[], int len);
```

- Test null array
- Test length > array.length
- Test negative length
- Test small arrays of length 0, 1, 2
- Test long array
- Test length == array.length
- Stress test with randomly-generated arrays and lengths

A testing exercise

```
/**  
 * Copies the specified array, truncating or padding with zeros  
 * so the copy has the specified length. For all indices that are  
 * valid in both the original array and the copy, the two arrays will  
 * contain identical values. For any indices that are valid in the  
 * copy but not the original, the copy will contain 0.  
 * Such indices will exist if and only if the specified length  
 * is greater than that of the original array.  
 *  
 * @param original the array to be copied  
 * @param newLength the length of the copy to be returned  
 * @return a copy of the original array, truncated or padded with  
 *         zeros to obtain the specified length  
 * @throws NegativeArraySizeException if newLength is negative  
 * @throws NullPointerException if original is null  
 */  
int [] copyOf(int[] original, int newLength);
```


Today

- Behavioral subtyping (continued)
 - Liskov Substitution Principle
 - The `java.lang.Object` contracts
- Design for reuse: delegation vs. inheritance

Behavioral subtyping

Let $q(x)$ be a property provable about objects x of type T . Then $q(y)$ should be provable for objects y of type S where S is a subtype of T .

Barbara Liskov

- e.g., Compiler-enforced rules in Java:
 - Subtypes can add, but not remove methods
 - Concrete class must implement all undefined methods
 - Overriding method must return same type or subtype
 - Overriding method must accept the same parameter types
 - Overriding method may not throw additional exceptions
- Also applies to specified behavior. Subtypes must have:
 - Same or stronger invariants
 - Same or stronger postconditions for all methods
 - Same or weaker preconditions for all methods

This is called the *Liskov Substitution Principle*.

LSP example: Car is a behavioral subtype of Vehicle

```
abstract class Vehicle {
    int speed, limit;

    //@ invariant speed < limit;

    //@ requires speed != 0;
    //@ ensures speed < \old(speed)
    abstract void brake();
}
```

```
class Car extends Vehicle {
    int fuel;
    boolean engineOn;
    //@ invariant speed < limit;
    //@ invariant fuel >= 0;

    //@ requires fuel > 0
        && !engineOn;
    //@ ensures engineOn;
    void start() { ... }

    void accelerate() { ... }

    //@ requires speed != 0;
    //@ ensures speed < \old(speed)
    void brake() { ... }
}
```

Subclass fulfills the same invariants (and additional ones)
Overridden method has the same pre and postconditions

LSP example: Hybrid is a behavioral subtype of Car

```
class Car extends Vehicle {
  int fuel;
  boolean engineOn;
  //@ invariant speed < limit;
  //@ invariant fuel >= 0;

  //@ requires fuel > 0
    && !engineOn;
  //@ ensures engineOn;
  void start() { ... }

  void accelerate() { ... }

  //@ requires speed != 0;
  //@ ensures speed < \old(speed)
  void brake() { ... }
}
```

```
class Hybrid extends Car {
  int charge;
  //@ invariant charge >= 0;
  //@ invariant ...
  //@ requires (charge > 0
                || fuel > 0)
    && !engineOn;
  //@ ensures engineOn;
  void start() { ... }

  void accelerate() { ... }

  //@ requires speed != 0;
  //@ ensures speed < \old(speed)
  //@ ensures charge > \old(charge)
  void brake() { ... }
}
```

Subclass fulfills the same invariants (and additional ones)

Overridden method start has weaker precondition

Overridden method brake has stronger postcondition

Is this Square a behavioral subtype of Rectangle?

```
class Rectangle {  
    int h, w;  
  
    Rectangle(int h, int w) {  
        this.h=h; this.w=w;  
    }  
  
    //methods  
}
```

```
class Square extends Rectangle {  
    Square(int w) {  
        super(w, w);  
    }  
}
```

Is this Square a behavioral subtype of Rectangle?

```
class Rectangle {  
    int h, w;  
  
    Rectangle(int h, int w) {  
        this.h=h; this.w=w;  
    }  
  
    //methods  
}
```

```
class Square extends Rectangle {  
    Square(int w) {  
        super(w, w);  
    }  
}
```

(Yes.)

Is this Square a behavioral subtype of Rectangle?

```
class Rectangle {
    //@ invariant h>0 && w>0;
    int h, w;

    Rectangle(int h, int w) {
        this.h=h; this.w=w;
    }

    //methods
}
```

```
class Square extends Rectangle {
    //@ invariant h>0 && w>0;
    //@ invariant h==w;
    Square(int w) {
        super(w, w);
    }
}
```

Is this Square a behavioral subtype of Rectangle?

```
class Rectangle {  
    //@ invariant h>0 && w>0;  
    int h, w;  
  
    Rectangle(int h, int w) {  
        this.h=h; this.w=w;  
    }  
  
    //methods  
}
```

```
class Square extends Rectangle {  
    //@ invariant h>0 && w>0;  
    //@ invariant h==w;  
    Square(int w) {  
        super(w, w);  
    }  
}
```

(Yes.)

Is this Square a behavioral subtype of Rectangle?

```
class Rectangle {
    //@ invariant h>0 && w>0;
    int h, w;

    Rectangle(int h, int w) {
        this.h=h; this.w=w;
    }

    //@ requires factor > 0;
    void scale(int factor) {
        w=w*factor;
        h=h*factor;
    }
}
```

```
class Square extends Rectangle {
    //@ invariant h>0 && w>0;
    //@ invariant h==w;
    Square(int w) {
        super(w, w);
    }
}
```

Is this Square a behavioral subtype of Rectangle?

```
class Rectangle {
    //@ invariant h>0 && w>0;
    int h, w;

    Rectangle(int h, int w) {
        this.h=h; this.w=w;
    }

    //@ requires factor > 0;
    void scale(int factor) {
        w=w*factor;
        h=h*factor;
    }
}
```

```
class Square extends Rectangle {
    //@ invariant h>0 && w>0;
    //@ invariant h==w;
    Square(int w) {
        super(w, w);
    }
}
```

(Yes.)

Is this Square a behavioral subtype of Rectangle?

```
class Rectangle {
    //@ invariant h>0 && w>0;
    int h, w;

    Rectangle(int h, int w) {
        this.h=h; this.w=w;
    }

    //@ requires factor > 0;
    void scale(int factor) {
        w=w*factor;
        h=h*factor;
    }
    //@ requires neww > 0;
    void setWidth(int neww) {
        w=neww;
    }
}
```

```
class Square extends Rectangle {
    //@ invariant h>0 && w>0;
    //@ invariant h==w;
    Square(int w) {
        super(w, w);
    }
}
```

Is this Square a behavioral subtype of Rectangle?

```
class Rectangle {
    //@ invariant h>0 && w>0;
    int h, w;

    Rectangle(int h, int w) {
        this.h=h; this.w=w;
    }

    //@ requires factor > 0;
    void scale(int factor) {
        w=w*factor;
        h=h*factor;
    }

    //@ requires neww > 0;
    void setWidth(int neww) {
        w=neww;
    }
}
```

```
class Square extends Rectangle {
    //@ invariant h>0 && w>0;
    //@ invariant h==w;
    Square(int w) {
        super(w, w);
    }
}
```

```
class GraphicProgram {
    void scaleW(Rectangle r, int f) {
        r.setWidth(r.getWidth() * f);
    }
}
```

← **Invalidates stronger invariant (h==w) in subclass**

(Yes! But the Square is not a square...)

This Square is *not* a behavioral subtype of Rectangle

```
class Rectangle {
    //@ invariant h>0 && w>0;
    int h, w;

    Rectangle(int h, int w) {
        this.h=h; this.w=w;
    }

    //@ requires factor > 0;
    void scale(int factor) {
        w=w*factor;
        h=h*factor;
    }

    //@ requires neww > 0;
    //@ ensures w==neww
        && h==old.h;
    void setWidth(int neww) {
        w=neww;
    }
}
```

```
class Square extends Rectangle {
    //@ invariant h>0 && w>0;
    //@ invariant h==w;
    Square(int w) {
        super(w, w);
    }

    //@ requires neww > 0;
    //@ ensures w==neww
        && h==neww;
    @Override
    void setWidth(int neww) {
        w=neww;
        h=neww;
    }
}
```

Methods common to all Objects

- `equals`: returns true if the two objects are “equal”
- `hashCode`: returns an `int` that must be equal for equal objects, and is likely to differ for unequal objects
- `toString`: returns a printable string representation

The built-in `java.lang.Object` implementations

- Provide identity semantics:
 - `equals(Object o)`: returns true if `o` refers to this object
 - `hashCode()`: returns a near-random `int` that never changes
 - `toString()`: returns a string consisting of the type and hash code
 - For example: `java.lang.Object@659e0bfd`

The toString() specification

- Returns a concise, but informative textual representation
- Advice: Always override toString(), e.g.:

```
final class PhoneNumber {  
    private final short areaCode;  
    private final short prefix;  
    private final short lineNumber;  
    ...  
    @Override public String toString() {  
        return String.format("(%03d) %03d-%04d",  
            areaCode, prefix, lineNumber);  
    }  
}
```

```
Number jenny = ...;  
System.out.println(jenny);  
Prints: (707) 867-5309
```


The equals(Object) specification

- Must define an equivalence relation:
 - Reflexive: For every object `x`, `x.equals(x)` is always true
 - Symmetric: If `x.equals(y)`, then `y.equals(x)`
 - Transitive: If `x.equals(y)` and `y.equals(z)`, then `x.equals(z)`
- Consistent: Equal objects stay equal, unless mutated
- "Non-null": `x.equals(null)` is always false

An equals(Object) example

```
public final class PhoneNumber {
    private final short areaCode;
    private final short prefix;
    private final short lineNumber;

    @Override
    public boolean equals(Object o) {
        if (!(o instanceof PhoneNumber)) // Does null check
            return false;
        PhoneNumber pn = (PhoneNumber) o;
        return pn.lineNumber == lineNumber
            && pn.prefix == prefix
            && pn.areaCode == areaCode;
    }

    ...
}
```

The hashCode() specification

- Equal objects must have equal hash codes
 - If you override equals you must override hashCode
- Unequal objects should usually have different hash codes
 - Take all value fields into account when constructing it
- Hash code must not change unless object is mutated

A hashCode() example

```
public final class PhoneNumber {
    private final short areaCode;
    private final short prefix;
    private final short lineNumber;

    @Override public int hashCode() {
        int result = 17; // Nonzero is good
        result = 31 * result + areaCode; // Constant must be odd
        result = 31 * result + prefix; // " " " "
        result = 31 * result + lineNumber; // " " " "
        return result;
    }
    ...
}
```

Today

- Behavioral subtyping (continued)
 - Liskov Substitution Principle
 - The `java.lang.Object` contracts
- Design for reuse: delegation vs. inheritance

Recall our earlier sorting example:

Version A:

```
static void sort(int[] list, boolean ascending) {  
    ...  
    boolean mustSwap;  
    if (ascending) {  
        mustSwap = list[i] < list[j];  
    } else {  
        mustSwap = list[i] > list[j];  
    }  
    ...  
}
```

Version B':

```
interface Comparator {  
    boolean compare(int i, int j);  
}  
final Comparator ASCENDING = (i, j) -> i < j;  
final Comparator DESCENDING = (i, j) -> i > j;  
  
static void sort(int[] list, Comparator cmp) {  
    ...  
    boolean mustSwap =  
        cmp.compare(list[i], list[j]);  
    ...  
}
```

Delegation

- *Delegation* is simply when one object relies on another object for some subset of its functionality
 - e.g. here, the Sorter is delegating functionality to some Comparator
- Judicious delegation enables code reuse

```
interface Comparator {
    boolean compare(int i, int j);
}
final Comparator ASCENDING = (i, j) -> i < j;
final Comparator DESCENDING = (i, j) -> i > j;

static void sort(int[] list, Comparator cmp) {
    ...
    boolean mustSwap =
        cmp.compare(list[i], list[j]);
    ...
}
```

Delegation

- *Delegation* is simply when one object relies on another object for some subset of its functionality
 - e.g. here, the Sorter is delegating functionality to some Comparator
- Judicious delegation enables code reuse
 - Sorter can be reused with arbitrary sort orders
 - Comparators can be reused with arbitrary client code that needs to compare integers

```
interface Comparator {
    boolean compare(int i, int j);
}
final Comparator ASCENDING = (i, j) -> i < j;
final Comparator DESCENDING = (i, j) -> i > j;

static void sort(int[] list, Comparator cmp) {
    ...
    boolean mustSwap =
        cmp.compare(list[i], list[j]);
    ...
}
```


Using delegation to extend functionality

- Consider the `java.util.List` (excerpted):

```
public interface List<E> {  
    public boolean add(E e);  
    public E      remove(int index);  
    public void   clear();  
    ...  
}
```

- Suppose we want a list that logs its operations to the console...

Using delegation to extend functionality

The `LoggingList` is composed of a `List`, and delegates (the non-logging) functionality to that `List`

- One solution:

```
public class LoggingList<E> implements List<E> {
    private final List<E> list;
    public LoggingList<E>(List<E> list) { this.list = list; }
    public boolean add(E e) {
        System.out.println("Adding " + e);
        return list.add(e);
    }
    public E remove(int index) {
        System.out.println("Removing at " + index);
        return list.remove(index);
    }
    ...
}
```

Delegation and design

- Small interfaces with clear contracts
- Classes to encapsulate algorithms, behaviors
 - E.g., the Comparator

Recall: Implementation inheritance for code reuse

```
public abstract class AbstractAccount
    implements Account {
    protected long balance = 0;
    public long getBalance() {
        return balance;
    }
    abstract public void monthlyAdjustment();
    // other methods...
}
```

```
public class CheckingAccountImpl
    extends AbstractAccount
    implements CheckingAccount {
    public void monthlyAdjustment() {
        balance -= getFee();
    }
    public long getFee() { ... }
}
```

Design alternatives: delegation vs. inheritance

```
class BasicAccount
    implements Account {
    private long balance = 0;
    public long getBalance() {
        return balance;
    }
    // other methods...
}

public class CheckingAccountImpl
    implements CheckingAccount {
    private BasicAccount account;
    public long getBalance() {
        return account.getBalance();
    }
    public void monthlyAdjustment() {
        account.setBalance(
            account.getBalance() - getFee());
    }
    public long getFee() { ... }
}
```

Delegation vs. inheritance

- Inheritance can improve modeling flexibility
- Usually, favor composition/delegation over inheritance
 - Inheritance violates information hiding
 - Delegation supports information hiding
- Design and document for inheritance, or prohibit it
 - Document requirements for overriding any method

Summary

- Behavioral subtyping: Must conform to specification, even if not enforced by compiler
- `java.lang.Object` contracts critical for basic Java use
- Design alternatives: Favor delegation over inheritance