## 15-859(B) Machine Learning Theory

Lecture 7: Boosting I

Avrim Blum 02/06/08

## <u>Today: some basic definitional</u> <u>guestions in the PAC model</u>

- Algorithm PAC-learns a class of functions C if:
  For any given ε>0, δ>0, any target f ∈ C, any dist. D, with prob at least 1-δ the algorithm produces h of err(h)<ε.</li>
- Running time and sample sizes polynomial in relevant parameters: 1/ε, 1/δ, n, size(f).
- Require h to be poly-time evaluatable (don't require h∈C).
- Q1: do we need "for all  $\delta$ "? What if we replace that with "exists  $\delta$ ' > 0 such that alg succeeds with prob  $\geq \delta$ ' "?

## <u>Claim: if C is learnable using new</u> <u>def then also learnable with old def</u>

- Say A achieves error  $\leq \epsilon/2$  with prob  $\geq \delta'.$  Uses |S|=m.
- Run it  $1/\delta'$  times. (m/ $\delta'$  data points). With prob at least 1-1/e it succeeds at least once.
- Run it  $ln(2/\delta)$  factor more times. With prob at least 1  $\delta/2$  it succeeds at least once.
- Now test hypotheses on new test set S' of size  $O((1/\epsilon)\log(1/(\delta\delta'))$  and pick best. By Chernoff bounds, whp this has error  $\leq \epsilon$ . (see hwk)

## Q2: do we need to say "for all $\epsilon$ "?

- Def: Say alg A weak-learns class C if there exists  $\varepsilon, \delta > O$  [1/poly(n)] such that for all f $\in$ C, all D, A achieves error at most  $\frac{1}{2}$ - $\varepsilon$  with probability at least  $\delta$ .
- I.e., with some noticeable probability it does noticeably better than guessing.
- If we defined PAC-learning this way, does that change the set of learnable C?
- No. Given alg satisfying this, can "boost" to satisfy original def.

OK, now let's go to the blackboard...